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Abstract

Houston Community College’s Intensive English program was started by Maria Bazan-Myrick in 2012 and operates at nine campuses around Houston that sometimes educate upwards of 12,000 ESL learners per academic year. It consists of five levels, the first three of which (Intro, Level 1, and Level 2) are taught by Continuing Education-Languages instructors. The program received the Exemplary Program Award from the National Council for Continuing Education & Training in 2013, and was awarded for being the top performer at HCC in 2017. Ongoing assessment of both students and the practices of the program has been vital to its success, and the idea for this workshop originated from a student learning objective (SLO) data analysis of students who took Level 2 courses during the Summer 2018 semester. The purpose of this workshop is to foster discussion about building a strong writing foundation in an ESL curriculum. By the end of this workshop, participants will be able address questions about how to build the curriculum, how to meaningfully assess student performance, and how to promote development of students’ writing skills.
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1. Opening exercise (slides 2-3)

This workshop will be conducted via PowerPoint. The presenters will first guide the participants through a calibration activity.

1.1. Calibration activity (slide 2)

Participants will be presented with writing samples produced by various students who have taken Continuing Education-Languages courses at Houston Community College and copies of the rubric that instructors in the program use to grade students’ writing samples. Using the rubric and their own ideas about quality writing, they will be given a few minutes to evaluate each sample and assign it a level.

1.2. Calibration activity review (Slide 3)

The presenters will reveal the levels that they assigned to each sample. The participants will be given the opportunity to identify the key differences between each sample and discuss whether or not they agree with the presenters’ assignments.

2. SLO analysis (slides 4-6)

The presenters will share and review results of a student learning objective (SLO) data analysis that focuses on the writing test results of a cohort of 97 Level 2 students who took summer Continuing Education-Languages courses.

2.1. Background (slides 4-5)

The presenters will explain the methodology, scope, and limitations of the SLO analysis to the participants.

2.2. Results (slide 6)

The presenters will share and review the results of the SLO analysis with the participants. The most noteworthy results are listed in Figures 1 and 2 below.
3. Conclusions (slide 7)

The presenters will provide a list of suggestions for improving student performance in areas where numerous errors were observed, as detailed in Figure 3 on the next page.
Figure 3. Suggestions for Improving Students’ Scores.

| Spelling       | • Focus on teaching phonics in Listening & Speaking classes
|                | • Teach common spelling rules
|                | • Teach word forms and suffixes that change the form of words (e.g. -tion, -ment, -ize) |
| Transitions    | • Focus on teaching conjunctions and the purpose of different transition words/phrases
|                | • Emphasize importance of transitioning between different ideas in paragraphs and essays |
| Verb forms     | • Focus on the proper situations in which to use various verb tenses
|                | • Focus on moods (e.g. subjunctive)
|                | • Emphasize the proper use of gerunds and infinitives |
| Prepositions   | • Stress meanings and usage of common prepositions |
| Capitalization | • Stress capitalization of proper nouns and significant words in names and titles |
| Punctuation    | • Stress proper placement of commas after dependent clauses and full stops (or semicolons) after independent clauses |
| Outlines       | • Add outline to rubric and require students to make one before writing anything more involved than a journal entry |

4. Discussion & evaluation (slide 8)

The presenters will share a list of outstanding questions raised by the SLO analysis and the current evaluation standards that they will discuss with the participants.

The presenters are aware that the structure of the program in which they teach is very different from those of other higher education institutions. In sharing this information, they hope to elevate awareness of the diversity of ESL program structures and collect and share information that will help HCC and other institutions improve student writing and assessment processes.
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