THE OPEN RECRUITMENT SYSTEM FOR PRINCIPALS IN KOREA

Yueh-Chun Huang[1], & Ru-Jer Wang[2]
[1]*Global Master Program of Teaching Profession & Department of Education,*
National Chiayi University/Distinguished Professor (Taiwan, R.O.C.)
[2]*Department of Education, National Taichung University of Education/Distinguished Professor*
(Taiwan, R.O.C.)

Abstract

The research analyzes South Korea's open recruitment system for principals and compares it with the traditional parallel system. It aims to explore the factors that led to the formation of the open recruitment system, analyze its policy implementation process, and assess its effectiveness. The study utilizes document analysis, interviews, and comparative research methods. The findings reveal that the open recruitment system for principals emerged due to efforts to promote social democratization and liberalization in education. However, there are challenges in attracting enthusiastic participation from potential principal candidates, leading to limited implementation of the system and unmet goals. Certain regions need more candidates and require increased publicity and talent attraction strategies. Implementing the open recruitment system also poses challenges due to varying promotion situations across different areas and the absolute decision-making power of education supervisors, which has sparked controversy. It is crucial to ensure a fair and transparent selection process, emphasizing the need for objective, transparent, and professional reviews to maintain impartiality and professionalism. Overall, this research comprehensively analyzes South Korea's open recruitment system for principals and offers insights for improvement. It is a valuable reference for South Korea and other countries seeking to enhance their principal recruitment processes. By addressing the identified challenges and incorporating the research's recommendations, countries can strive for a more effective and inclusive system that attracts qualified candidates and promotes educational success.

Keywords: Principal, open recruitment system, Korea.

1. Introduction

Principals play a vital role in the success of schools, teachers, and students, but challenges like rising accountability standards, limited decision-making authority, and mediocre pay make attracting talented leaders difficult (Chung & Miskel, 1989; Ehrhardt, 2009; García-Garduño et al., 2011; Kandasamy & Blaton, 2004; Doyle & Locke, 2014). Research indicates the need for better principal selection and training (Ham et al., 2019; Lee & Mao, 2020). In South Korea, the open recruitment system for principals, introduced in 2007, aims to address these challenges by breaking away from the traditional promotion-based selection process. However, attracting and preparing candidates for leadership roles remain key issues (Wang et al., 2021; Choi et al., 2022). This research analyzed South Korea's open recruitment system, compared it with the traditional system, and explored its advantages and disadvantages to provide insights for improvement and serve as a reference for other countries.

2. Objectives

The main objectives of this study are: (1) to explore the influencing factors in the formation of South Korea's open recruitment system for principals; (2) to analyze the policy implementation process of South Korea's open recruitment system for principals; (3) to understand the policy execution effectiveness of South Korea's open recruitment system for principals.

3. Method

This study employed document analysis. Document analysis collected and analyzes educational policy documents, with Professor Soojung Park assisting in interpreting Korean documents (Amirov, 2020; Fackler & Sexton, 2020; Joanna & Suskya, 2021; Kim & Dreamson, 2020; Mohammad et al., 2021; Ortiz Granero, 2020; Owen, 2014). Field studies will observe and interpret practices in natural settings (Academy, 2022; Moorhead & Nediger, 1989; Rossmiller, 1988; Turk et al., 2002).

4. Discussion

The open recruitment system for principals (ORSP) in South Korea emerged as a response to the changing socio-political landscape and the push for neoliberal education policies. The ORSP represents a significant shift from traditional principal selection methods, aiming to promote transparency, competition, and meritocracy in school leadership appointments. This system has evolved over the years, facing various challenges and undergoing refinements to better align with the goals of educational reform and democratic governance in South Korea.

4.1. Historical context and policy rationale

The ORSP was introduced in the context of broader educational and social reforms initiated by the Kim Young-Sam Administration in 1993, which marked the end of military rule and the beginning of a new era of democratization and liberalization in South Korea (Joo & Reyes, 2010). The administration embraced neoliberal principles, advocating for client-oriented education, excellence in education, and school autonomy. These reforms aimed to decentralize educational governance, increase competition, and promote market-oriented approaches within the education sector. The ORSP was conceived as part of these reforms to transform the traditional, seniority-based principal selection process into a more open and competitive system. The Ministry of Education announced the Promotion Plan of Open Recruitment System for Principals, encouraging local education authorities to adopt the ORSP (Ministry of Education, 2022/10). The system was designed to attract a wider pool of candidates, including those outside the traditional education career path, and to ensure that school leadership positions were filled based on merit and suitability rather than seniority or connections.

4.2. Implementation and evolution of the ORSP

The implementation of the ORSP has been a gradual process, with various modifications and adjustments made over the years to address emerging challenges and concerns. The system initially allowed for a significant portion of principal vacancies to be filled through open recruitment, breaking away from the strict seniority-based system. It also included provisions for designating certain schools, such as newly established schools and special-purpose high schools, to implement the ORSP, demonstrating the government's commitment to expanding and promoting the system (Joo & Reyes, 2010; Ministry of Education, 2022/10). However, the implementation of the ORSP has faced criticism and challenges. Critics have raised concerns about the system's potential to undermine the teaching profession's traditional values, create uncertainty and instability in school leadership, and favor candidates with administrative or political connections over those with educational expertise (Kim & Parkay, 2004). There have also been issues with the actual practice of the system, including inconsistencies in its application across different regions and difficulties in attracting qualified candidates in some areas. Despite these challenges, the ORSP has continued to evolve, with efforts to refine the selection criteria, improve transparency, and ensure that the system aligns with the broader goals of educational reform in South Korea. The Ministry of Education has issued guidelines and regulations to standardize the implementation of the ORSP, addressing issues such as the qualifications of candidates, the composition of selection committees, and the evaluation criteria for selecting principals (Ministry of Education, 2022/10).

4.3. Types of open recruitment and selection process

The ORSP encompasses three main types of recruitment: internal, recruitment, and open types. The internal type focuses on open recruitment from within the teaching profession, while the recruitment type targets qualified individuals outside the traditional education system. The open type is akin to corporate CEO recruitment, seeking individuals with unique skills and abilities that can contribute to school innovation and leadership (Huang, 2019). The selection process under the ORSP involves multiple stages, including school-level review, education office review, and final appointment by the superintendent or the Ministry of Education. This process ensures a comprehensive evaluation of

candidates' qualifications, leadership potential, and alignment with the school's vision and needs. However, the system's effectiveness depends on the selection process's transparency, fairness, and objectivity, which has been a focus of ongoing refinement and improvement.

4.4. Implementation and challenges

The implementation of the ORSP varies across different regions and is decided at the discretion of superintendents (local education chiefs). However, the number of schools implementing the system has yet to meet expectations, with only 11.4% of elementary and middle schools adopting the ORSP by 2021. This decline in implementation rates has raised concerns about the effectiveness of the reform. Several challenges have been identified: Varying Implementation Across Regions: The discretion given to superintendents has led to consistency in implementing the ORSP, with some regions limiting the number of schools participating in the system. Insufficient Participation of Candidates: In some regions, there needs to be more sufficient candidates for the open recruitment positions, leading to the re-announcement of positions. Superintendents' Final Say: The final decision-making power of superintendents in the selection process has been controversial, with criticisms of potential biases and lack of transparency (Huang, 2019). Despite these challenges, the ORSP has been seen as a step towards modernizing and democratizing the selection process for school principals in South Korea. It aims to break away from traditional practices and promote a more open and competitive environment for appointing principals.

5. Conclusions

The Open Recruitment System for Principals (ORSP) in South Korea marks a shift towards democratizing principal selection but faces implementation challenges, such as regional adoption variances and superintendent decision-making concerns. Continuous evaluation and improvement are needed for the ORSP to effectively enhance school leadership and education quality. Addressing challenges and stakeholder feedback will make the system a more effective tool for selecting innovative principals.

References

Academy, F. (2022). *How to conduct a field research study? – A complete guide*. Retrieved from https://www.enago.com/academy/how-conduct-field-research-study-complete-guide/

Amirov, R. A. (2020). On the need to adopt a strategic planning document - Strategy for the development of the higher education system in the Russian Federation for the period up to 2030. *Управленческое консультирование (6)*, 123-135.

Choi, Y., Lee, S., & Oh, H. (2022). *From the best practices of successful school leaders: Developing and validating the principal competencies inventory in South Korea*. Educational Management Administration & Leadership. https://doi.org/10.1177/17411432221082914

Chung, K. A., & Miskel, C. G. (1989). A comparative study of principals′ administrative behavior. *Journal of Educational Administration, 27*(1), 1-20. https://doi.org/10.1108/09578238910132688

Doyle, D., & Locke, G. (2014). *Lacking leaders: The challenges of principal recruitment, selection, and placement.* Thomas B. Fordham Institute.

Ehrhardt, G. (2009). Administrative reform in East Asia: The importance of multiple principals. *Asian Survey, 49*(4), 625-646.

Fackler, A. K., & Sexton, C. M. (2020). Science teacher education in the time of COVID-19: A document analysis. *Electronic Journal for Research in Science & Mathematics Education, 24*(3), 5-13.

García-Garduño, J. M., Slater, C. L., & López-Gorosave, G. (2011). Beginning elementary principals around the world. *Management in Education, 25*(3), 100-105.

Ham, S. H., Kim, B. C., & Kim, W. J. (2019). Leadership for instructional uncertainty management: Revisiting school leadership in South Korea's context of educational reform. In S. Hairon, & J. W. Pin Goh (Eds.), *Perspectives on school leadership in Asia Pacific contexts* (pp. 133-148). Springer.

Huang, Yueh-Chun (2019). Analysis of the Open Recruitment System for Principals in South Korean primary and secondary schools. *Educational Research Monthly, 308*, 111-125. (In Chinese)

Joo, Y. H., & Reyes, P. (2010). A political analysis of the policy process of the Open Recruitment System of Principals in Korea. *KEDI Journal of Educational Policy, 7*(2), 233-255.

Kandasamy, M., & Blaton, L. (2004). *School principals: Core actors in educational improvement*. UNESCO: International Institute for Educational Planning.

Kim, M., & Parkay, F. W. (2004). Beginning principals in the Republic of Korea: The challenges of new leadership. *KEDI Journal of Educational Policy, 1*(1), 85-97.

Lee, S. W., & Mao, X. (2020). Recruitment and selection of principals: A systematic review. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership, 51*(1), 6–29.

Ministry of Education (2021/10). *Promotion plan for the Open Recruitment System for Principals in the 2022 Academic Year*. (In Korean)

Mohammad, A., Anton, P., Marie-Andrée, G., & Ruby, E. G. (2021). Interprofessional education-relevant accreditation standards in Canada: a comparative document analysis. *Human Resources for Health, 19*(1), 1-13.

Moorhead, R., & Nediger, W. (1989). *Behaviors of effective principals*. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED308575

Ortiz Granero, C. M. (2020). The Internationalization of higher education in Ireland and its impact on language policy: a qualitative document analysis. *Teanga: The Journal of the Irish Association for Applied Linguistics, 27*, 147-173.

Owen, G. T. (2014). Qualitative methods in higher education policy analysis: Using interviews and document analysis. *Qualitative Report, 19*(26), 1-19.

Rossmiller, R. A. (1988). *Project on the effects of the school as a workplace on teachers' engagement: Field study on principals' management of schools to affect teacher engagement.* https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED316943.pdf

Turk, R. L., Wolff, K., Waterbury, C., & Zumalt, J. (2002). What principals should know about building and maintaining teams. *NASSP Bulletin, 86*(630), 15-23.

Wang, C., Hancock, D. R., Lim, J. H., Müller, U., Tulowitzki, P., & Stricker, T. (2021). Perspectives of South Korean school principals on job satisfaction: in comparison to German and US principals. *Leadership and Policy in Schools, 20*(4), 563-578.