EMPOWERING INNOVATION: THE ROLE OF GENERATIVE AI IN TRANSFORMING PROJECTS FOR UNIVERSITY STUDENTS

Karen McGivern

Business Department, St Mary's University College (Northern Ireland)

Abstract

This study explores the transformative role of Generative AI (GAI) in university students' academic projects, focusing on its potential to enhance creativity, idea generation, and research processes. The rapid evolution of AI technologies necessitates a thorough understanding of their benefits and challenges in educational contexts. The research investigates how GAI tools like ChatGPT and AI-driven design platforms can facilitate creative problem-solving and streamline research practices. It addresses key questions about GAI's influence on student creativity, its perceived benefits and challenges, and effective integration strategies for educators. Drawing on recent literature (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2024; Luckin et al., 2022), the study reveals that GAI enhances students' creativity, streamlines research processes, and offers personalized learning experiences. However, it also raises ethical concerns and challenges related to academic integrity. The author emphasises the urgent need for comprehensive lecturer training on GAI to enable effective integration into classroom instruction and assessment practices. This approach is crucial for developing students' AI literacy and mitigating potential over-reliance on technology. The study recommends a balanced curriculum that leverages AI's creative potential while addressing its limitations, ultimately encouraging responsible and innovative use of these emerging technologies in higher education.

Keywords: AI, innovation, integrity, training, technologies.

1. Introduction

The emergence of Generative AI (GAI) in higher education presents transformative opportunities alongside significant challenges. Building on foundational studies like Luckin and Holmes (2016), research highlights GAI's potential to support personalised learning and enhance students' academic skills. For instance, Donlon and Tiernan (2023) demonstrate its ability to streamline research and improve academic writing processes. However, the integration of GAI is not without concerns. Institutions are exploring strategies such as licensing to ensure fair access, while the Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education (QAA, 2023) calls for rethinking assessment methods to focus on critical thinking and creativity rather than memorization. To maximise its benefits, a balanced approach is essential. GAI's integration into curricula also raises questions about its impact on creativity and pedagogy. Luckin et al. (2022) present the concept of AI Readiness, emphasising the need for a contextualised approach to help people understand AI, particularly in the education and training sector. This framework recognises the diverse impact of AI across professions and workplaces, highlighting a significant shift in how educators are prepared for the integration of AI in educational settings. However, this transformation requires deliberate efforts to adopt both information literacy and AI literacy, as emphasised by Tiernan et al. (2023). This includes fostering AI literacy among students and educators while addressing risks such as over-reliance and academic integrity. Comprehensive strategies for responsible integration can enable higher education to leverage GAI effectively, enhancing learning and innovation in academic practices.

This paper explores the multifaceted role of GAI in academic projects, addressing its influence on student creativity, the challenges it presents, and strategies for its responsible integration. By drawing on existing literature, this study emphasizes the need for educator training and balanced curricula to harness GAI's potential while mitigating its limitations.

2. Literature review

Generative AI (GAI) has emerged as a transformative force in higher education, particularly in supporting creativity and enhancing academic processes. Zawacki-Richter et al. (2024) highlight GAI's ability to stimulate innovative thinking, providing students with diverse perspectives and enabling the generation of novel ideas. This aligns with Tiernan et al. (2023), who emphasize GAI's potential to foster creative problem-solving, empowering students to tackle challenges through unconventional approaches.

These findings demonstrate the growing role of GAI in reshaping academic practices and fostering deeper engagement. Despite its promise, GAI integration raises critical concerns. Bobula (2024) warns against over-reliance on AI-generated content, which may hinder students' ability to develop original ideas and critical thinking skills. Donlon and Tiernan (2023) also address ethical challenges, particularly those surrounding academic integrity, as students increasingly incorporate AI into their work. Gupta (2024) further critiques disparities in access to GAI tools, advocating for equitable strategies to ensure that their benefits are universally available. Addressing these challenges requires a concerted effort to train educators in AI literacy and develop balanced curricula. Luckin and Holmes (2016) argue that such measures are essential for leveraging GAI's potential while safeguarding against its limitations, paving the way for responsible and innovative use in education.

3. Methods

Using a mixed-methods approach the study captures both the nuanced experiences of students and educators engaging with GAI tools and the measurable outcomes of creative academic projects. This comprehensive methodology provides empirical insights into GAI's transformative potential while addressing the complex opportunities and challenges it presents in education. The research methodology employed a comprehensive, multi-phase approach to examining Generative AI's role in academic contexts. Initially, an anonymous baseline survey was administered to 84 students to establish their pre-existing perceptions, knowledge, and attitudes towards AI in educational settings. Following this, students participated in a targeted training session designed to provide foundational knowledge and practical skills related to Generative AI tools. After the intervention, a post-training anonymous survey was conducted to assess shifts in their understanding, perceptions, and intended usage of AI technologies. To complement the student-focused quantitative data, semi-structured interviews were conducted with a purposive sample of five lecturers drawn from various degree programs. These interviews explored participants' levels of confidence and attitudes towards using Generative AI in their teaching practices, as well as perceived challenges and limitations of integrating these tools into academic projects. Data analysis combined quantitative and qualitative approaches. Survey data underwent descriptive statistical analysis to identify trends in student responses. Interview data was subjected to thematic analysis to identify key themes. This mixed-methods design allowed for data triangulation, enhancing the validity of findings. By combining survey data with qualitative insights from interviews, the study aimed to provide a comprehensive understanding of Generative AI's impact in higher education.

4. Findings

The pre-survey data was completed by 60 students, a response rate of 70%. This data reveals key insights into university students' use of Generative AI (GAI) tools for academic purposes. Around 85% of respondents reported using GAI tools, with ChatGPT being the most popular, followed by tools like Canva, Microsoft Copilot, and Snapchat MyAI. Usage varied, with about 30% using GAI weekly, 25% monthly, and 25% less than once a month. Around 5% never used GAI despite knowing about it. The primary academic uses included idea generation (70%), brainstorming (60%), assignment writing and editing (40%), research summarization (35%), and visual content creation (20%). About 15% used GAI for productivity enhancement. The perceived benefits were substantial: 65% cited improved work quality, 60% for creative ideas, 50% for time-saving, and 45% for simplifying complex tasks. Most students rated the benefit highly (7-10 on a scale of 1 to 10). Concerns included information accuracy (70%), ethical issues like plagiarism and bias (60%), over-reliance on technology (40%), and potential impacts on creativity (35%). Additionally, 75% of students expressed interest in receiving more training to better utilize GAI tools in their academic work. The post-survey data revealed a significant increase in GAI tool usage among students. The response rate remained consistent at 70% (60 students). Notably, the percentage of students using GAI tools rose from 85% to 93%, with a marked increase in frequency of use. Weekly usage jumped from 30% to 45%, while monthly usage increased from 25% to 32%. The proportion of students who never used GAI despite knowing about it decreased from 5% to just 2%. There was also a diversification in the types of GAI tools used, with more students reporting the use of specialised academic AI tools. The academic applications expanded, with a notable increase in using GAI for research analysis (from 35% to 50%) and collaborative projects (new category at 30%). These findings suggest a growing integration of GAI tools into students' academic routines and a broadening of their applications in various aspects of university work. The interview data, analysed through inductive thematic analysis, revealed several key themes regarding the use of generative AI in higher education. Most respondents demonstrated a limited understanding and usage of generative AI, with some having only engaged with basic tools like transcription software or virtual assistants, and others not using it at all. Despite this, there was recognition of its potential benefits, including enhancing accessibility for students with diverse backgrounds or learning

difficulties, streamlining research processes, and assisting in course preparation and content creation. However, concerns were raised about over-reliance on AI, which could deskill students, and about the accuracy and reliability of AI-generated information, along with the risk of academic misconduct and plagiarism. Respondents also emphasised the need for comprehensive training for staff, development of guidelines for responsible AI use in academic settings, and teaching students how to use AI tools effectively and ethically. Some lecturers had already begun adapting their teaching and assessment methods, modifying assignment formats to reduce AI misuse, implementing stepped assignments to track progress, and exploring creative alternatives to traditional assessments. Comfort levels with using generative AI varied, with some respondents hesitant and others actively incorporating it into their teaching and research.

5. Conclusion

This study has demonstrated the transformative potential of generative AI tools in enhancing university students' academic projects. The findings highlight that successful integration of AI requires a multi-faceted approach involving the development of critical thinking skills, responsible AI use, and collaborative learning environments. Students must be equipped to critically assess AI-generated content, recognise biases, and synthesise diverse sources to avoid over-reliance on AI outputs. Additionally, establishing clear guidelines and policies is essential to address issues related to plagiarism, academic integrity, and the ethical use of AI. Collaborative learning activities that incorporate AI can further promote teamwork, knowledge sharing, and problem-solving skills. The research also emphasises the importance of lecturer preparedness and institutional support in realising the benefits of AI in education. Comprehensive training for educators on AI's pedagogical applications and ethical considerations is crucial for guiding responsible use among students. Furthermore, investing in infrastructure, such as appropriate technology and professional development opportunities, supports the effective integration of AI into learning environments. Continued research is needed to understand the long-term impact of generative AI on student outcomes and to establish best practices. These insights contribute to the broader conversation on AI in education, informing future policies and ensuring that AI's potential is harnessed responsibly and effectively.

References

Bobula, M. (2024). Generative artificial intelligence (AI) in higher education: A comprehensive review of challenges, opportunities, and implications. *Journal of Learning Development in Higher Education, 30*. https://doi.org/10.47408/jldhe.vi30.1137

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2012). Thematic Analysis. In H. Cooper, P. M. Camic, D. L. Long, A. T. Panter, D. Rindskopf, & K. J. Sher (Eds.), *APA Handbook of Research Methods in Psychology, Vol 2: Research Designs: Quantitative, Qualitative, Neuropsychological, and Biological* (pp. 57-71). American Psychological Association. https://doi.org/10.1037/13620-004

Donlon, E., & Tiernan, P. (2023). Chatbots and Citations: An Experiment in Academic Writing with Generative AI. *Irish Journal of Technology Enhanced Learning, 7*(2), 75-87. https://doi.org/10.22554/ijtel.v7i2.125

Gupta, A. (2024) Generative Artificial Intelligence and higher education: A double-edged sword, BERA. Retrieved November 12, 2024, from: https://www.bera.ac.uk/blog/generative-artificial-intelligence-and-higher-education-a-double-edged-sword

Luckin, R., Cukurova, M., Kent, C., & du Boulay, B. (2022). Empowering educators to be AI-ready. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 3*, 100076. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2022.100076

Luckin, R., & Holmes, W. (2016). *Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education*. UCL Knowledge Lab. Retrieved November 12, 2024, from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/299561597_Intelligence_Unleashed_An_argument_for_AI_in_Education

Tiernan, P., Costello, E., Donlon, E., Parysz, M., & Scriney, M. (2023). Information and Media Literacy in the Age of AI: Options for the Future. *Education Sciences, 13*(9), 906. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13090906

The Quality Assurance Agency for Higher Education. (2023). *Reconsidering assessment for the ChatGPT era: QAA advice on developing sustainable assessment strategies*. Retrieved December 2, 2024, from: https://www.qaa.ac.uk/docs/qaa/members/reconsidering-assessment-for-the-chat-gpt-era.pdf

Zawacki-Richter, O., Bai, J. Y. H., Lee, K., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Bedenlier, S. (2024). New advances in artificial intelligence applications in higher education? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 21*(1), 32. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-024-00464-3