

EMOTIONAL RELEASE IN DIGITAL LEARNING SPACES: INTEGRATING SEDONA-INSPIRED PRACTICES INTO ELEARNING PLATFORMS FOR STUDENT WELL-BEING

Iulian Zonenstain *

*PhD School of Psychology/"Ion Creangă" State Pedagogical University from Chisinau
(Republic of Moldova)*

Abstract

The proliferation of online education post-COVID-19 has amplified emotional challenges such as anxiety and isolation among learners, necessitating innovative interventions to enhance well-being in digital spaces. This paper proposes an exploratory model for integrating Sedona-inspired emotional release techniques—focusing on awareness, acceptance, and letting go—into eLearning platforms like Moodle, with adaptable low-tech and high-tech (e.g., VR/AR) modules to foster resilience without disrupting academic workflows. Grounded in established theories including Gross's Process Model of Emotion Regulation, Pekrun's Control-Value Theory, and Self-Determination Theory, the framework hypothesizes potential reductions in anxiety and improvements in engagement; however, confirmatory trials are essential, as current evidence remains exploratory. Drawing on a logic model of presumed mechanisms and preliminary data from a small-scale case study ($n=15$ online learners), the approach demonstrated an exploratory 25% mean reduction in State-Trait Anxiety Inventory scores (Hedges' $g_{rm}=1.66$, 95% CI [0.95, 2.37]), alongside 18% increased session engagement, though subject to selection bias and limited generalizability. The study outlines methodological rigor, including stratified randomization, mixed-effects modeling, fidelity monitoring, and CONSORT-EHEALTH compliance, while addressing ethical safeguards for psychological risks and data protection. By advocating scalable, inclusive tools, this work contributes to the discourse on holistic digital education, urging multi-site replications to validate its potential in diverse cultural contexts and bridge gaps in emotional support for remote learning.

Keywords: *eLearning, student well-being, emotion regulation, anxiety reduction, Sedona Method.*

1. Introduction

The post-pandemic era has solidified online and hybrid learning as a cornerstone of global education, with UNESCO reporting over 1.5 billion students affected by remote education during COVID-19. While eLearning offers flexibility and accessibility, it may exacerbate emotional challenges: isolation, screen fatigue, and "Zoom anxiety" could contribute to heightened stress levels, with studies indicating a potential 71% increase in student anxiety due to the outbreak. A meta-analysis of 18 studies during the pandemic revealed high prevalence rates of anxiety (up to 50% in some cohorts) among remote learners, linked to factors like disrupted social interactions and academic pressures.

This paper addresses this gap by proposing a digital adaptation of emotional release techniques inspired by the Sedona Method—a structured approach emphasizing awareness, acceptance, and letting go of negative emotions. Originally designed for in-person use, we reimagine it for eLearning platforms, creating interactive modules that may foster emotional resilience without disrupting academic flow. Building on experiential learning theory, our model integrates virtual tools to make emotional release potentially as seamless as a quiz or forum post, though empirical support for this specific adaptation is preliminary.

* Email: iulian.zonenstain@gmail.com / ORCID ID: 0009-0009-5941-8712

The objective is twofold: (1) to outline a scalable framework for embedding Sedona-inspired practices in platforms like Moodle or Canvas; and (2) to synthesize empirical evidence from conceptually similar studies, supplemented by preliminary data from a small-scale case study, to explore potential impacts on student well-being. By bridging emotional intelligence with edtech, this work aligns with the conference's call for "new learning and teaching models" and "technology in teaching and learning," while acknowledging limitations in direct evidence for promoting inclusive, resilient digital education.

2. Literature review

2.1. Emotional challenges in elearning

Research suggests the emotional toll of digital education: a cross-sectional survey of university students in Brazil found 71% reporting increased anxiety during online learning, with stressors including health concerns and concentration difficulties. Similarly, a systematic review highlighted that positive achievement emotions (e.g., enjoyment) may enhance online engagement, while negative ones (e.g., anxiety) could reduce it. Post-pandemic, 40% of online learners report heightened anxiety due to asynchronous interactions and lack of non-verbal cues.

2.2. The Sedona Method: Core principles and adaptability

The Sedona Method, developed by Lester Levenson, involves three steps: (1) **Awareness** (identifying emotions); (2) **Acceptance** (non-judgmental acknowledgment); and (3) **Letting Go** (releasing via inquiry, e.g., "Could I let this go now?"). While direct RCTs on Sedona are scarce, with no recent trials identified in 2023-2025 specifically for emotional release in educational settings, conceptual similarities exist with other techniques, though efficacy claims are not transferred here. In education, prior work explored Sedona-inspired approaches in traditional classrooms, but digital adaptations remain exploratory.

2.3. Digital innovations in emotional education

Emerging edtech tools like AI-driven chatbots and VR for mindfulness offer precedents, with meta-analyses suggesting digital interventions may reduce stress and improve emotional regulation in exploratory contexts. Our model draws conceptual parallels to these, gamifying Sedona principles within integrated conceptual models for sustainable online learning, though without assuming shared efficacy. Gaps in literature: Few studies integrate release-based methods into scalable eLearning, particularly in non-Western contexts like Eastern Europe, where digital divides may amplify emotional inequities.

2.4. Theoretical anchoring and logic model

The model aligns with Gross's Process Model of Emotion Regulation, mapping awareness to attentional deployment, acceptance to cognitive change, and letting go to response modulation. It also connects to Pekrun's Control-Value Theory, where perceived control over digital tasks and value of emotional tools may influence achievement emotions like anxiety. Finally, Self-Determination Theory (SDT) by Ryan and Deci supports how modules could enhance autonomy (self-paced release), competence (mastering emotions), and relatedness (peer-sharing options).

Logic Model of Presumed Mechanisms

- Inputs: Sedona-inspired modules (awareness prompts, acceptance reflections, letting go exercises).
- Activities: Daily/weekly digital interactions (e.g., journaling, guided audio).
- Outputs: Increased self-reported emotional awareness; completed sessions.
- Short-term Outcomes: Potential reduction in state anxiety via cognitive reappraisal (per Gross).
- Long-term Outcomes: Exploratory improvements in engagement and well-being, mediated by perceived control (per Pekrun) and autonomy (per SDT).
- Assumptions: Participants engage honestly; no adverse effects from release.

3. Proposed model: Digital Sedona framework for elearning

We propose a modular framework adaptable to existing platforms, structured around Sedona's principles with digital enhancements. Low-tech alternatives (e.g., text-based journaling) are emphasized for feasibility in resource-limited settings, with VR/AR as optional enhancements.

3.1. Core components

1. Awareness Module: Interactive self-assessments (e.g., emoji-based emotion trackers) embedded in LMS dashboards. Students log pre-lecture emotions, triggering personalized nudges. (Low-tech alt: Text prompts.)
2. Acceptance Module: Guided audio/video prompts (5-10 min) using AI voiceovers, encouraging non-judgmental reflection via branching narratives. (Low-tech alt: Static audio files.)
3. Letting Go Module: VR/AR visualizations (e.g., "releasing" emotions as digital clouds) or text-based journaling with sentiment analysis feedback. (Low-tech alt: Guided text exercises.)

3.2. Integration strategy

- Platform Compatibility: Plugins for Moodle, Google Classroom, or Zoom extensions.
- Scalability: Asynchronous (self-paced) and synchronous (live sessions) options.
- Inclusivity: Multilingual support and accessibility features (e.g., text-to-speech for neurodiverse users).
- Assessment: Pre/post EI scales integrated via quizzes.

Table 1. Digital Sedona Framework Modules.

Module	Principle	Tool (Low-tech alternative)	Duration	Expected Outcome
Awareness	Emotion identification	Emotion slider + AI prompt (text)	2–3 min	Increased self-awareness
Acceptance	Non-judgmental acknowledgment	Guided video (audio)	5 min	Reduced emotional resistance
Letting Go	Emotional release	VR visualization + journaling (text)	7–10 min	Reduced stress and anxiety

3.3. Visual model: Digital Sedona framework

The proposed framework follows a sequential flow integrated into the eLearning environment:

1. Entry Point: Student accesses the eLearning platform
2. Awareness Module: Pre-lecture emotional check (slider or text prompt)
3. Conditional Trigger: If elevated anxiety is detected
4. Acceptance Module: Guided reflection (audio, video, or text-based)
5. Letting Go Module: Emotional release exercise (VR/AR or text journaling)
6. Post-Module Assessment: Brief emotional intelligence (EI) check and engagement tracking
7. Integration: Return to academic content with potentially reduced emotional load
8. Outcome: Improved well-being and learning experience

A feedback loop enables adaptive personalization through user data (e.g., emotion logs), while low-tech alternatives ensure accessibility across diverse contexts.

4. Methodology: Proposed pilot study design

This study adopts a pilot experimental design, aligned with established guidelines for digital health interventions and preregistered on the Open Science Framework (OSF). It aims to evaluate the effectiveness of Sedona-inspired modules integrated into an eLearning platform. Quantitative data will be analyzed using mixed-effects models to assess changes in anxiety and engagement over time.

4.1. Participants

The sample consists of 100 undergraduate students (aged 18–24) enrolled in a psychology program and participating in a hybrid course on emotional intelligence. Inclusion criteria include fluency in Romanian or English, access to stable internet, and moderate anxiety levels (STAI-Y scores 40–60). Exclusion criteria include severe self-reported mental health conditions and prior experience with similar emotional techniques. Participants are recruited through university announcements, with informed consent obtained prior to participation. Baseline measures include demographic variables and initial anxiety levels.

4.2. Intervention

Participants engage in a structured 8-week program consisting of 12 Sedona-inspired modules (three per week) delivered via a Moodle plugin and integrated into course activities. The control group follows a parallel schedule using neutral digital study skills modules. Basic adherence is monitored through module completion logs, and participant safety is ensured through weekly self-reported monitoring of emotional states, with referral procedures in place if significant distress is detected.

Participants are randomly assigned (1:1) to intervention or control groups using a stratified approach based on demographic and baseline characteristics. Strategies such as reminders and incentives are used to minimize dropout.

4.3. Data collection and analysis

Quantitative data are collected using pre- and post-intervention measures, including the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI-Y) and the Student Stress Inventory (SSI), alongside platform-based engagement metrics. Qualitative data are obtained through a subset of semi-structured interviews and reflective journals. Data are analyzed using mixed-effects models to examine changes over time, with appropriate handling of missing data and adjustments for multiple comparisons.

5. Preliminary data: Small-scale case study

To provide initial evidence, a preliminary case study was conducted with 15 volunteers from Enalogos Academy (aged 20-25, online learners; recruited via internal email list, self-selected with moderate anxiety screening—explicit bias: autoselection may inflate positive responses due to motivated participants). Using a simplified 4-week version of the modules (awareness via app trackers, acceptance via guided videos, letting go via journaling), pre/post STAI-Y scores showed a mean reduction of 25% (pre M=48.3, SD=7.2, 95% CI [44.3, 52.3]; post M=36.2, SD=6.5, 95% CI [32.6, 39.8]; $t(14)=3.45$, $p<0.01$). Measured pre-post correlation $r=0.62$ (from paired data); recalculated $g_{rm}=1.66$ (Hedges' correction applied), 95% CI for g_{rm} [0.95, 2.37]. Normality confirmed (Shapiro-Wilk $p=0.12$); no outliers (Tukey's). Variables controlled: Baseline anxiety, age, gender (covariates in ANCOVA, $F=2.1$, $p>0.05$).

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics (Preliminary Study).

Time Point	Mean (M)	SD	Min	Max
Pre	48.3	7.2	38	60
Post	36.2	6.5	28	48

Table 3. Paired t-Test Results.

Effect	Mean Difference	t	p	Effect Size (g)
Time	-12.1	-3.45	<0.01	1.66

6. Discussion

The findings suggest that Sedona-inspired digital interventions may help reduce emotional barriers in eLearning and support student engagement and well-being. By adapting emotional release techniques to virtual environments, the proposed framework extends existing approaches to distance education and highlights the potential of integrating emotional support into digital learning design.

Several factors may influence the effectiveness of the intervention, including gender, age, baseline anxiety levels, and cultural norms related to emotional expression. Future research should examine these moderators through larger, multi-site studies to assess generalizability across diverse contexts.

Despite its potential, the model faces practical limitations. Technical constraints, such as access to advanced tools (e.g., VR), and the need for cultural adaptation may affect implementation. Additionally, the preliminary nature of the data and the limited sample size restrict the strength of current conclusions.

Ethical considerations remain essential, particularly regarding data protection and psychological safety. Participant data should be anonymized and handled in compliance with GDPR standards. Potential emotional risks associated with release exercises require ongoing monitoring and appropriate support mechanisms.

From a theoretical perspective, the framework contributes to the integration of emotional regulation strategies within eLearning environments, positioning emotional well-being as a relevant dimension of instructional design.

Future work should focus on confirmatory randomized controlled trials, broader and more diverse samples, and the validation of outcome measures in educational settings.

7. Conclusions and recommendations

Integrating Sedona-inspired emotional release into eLearning platforms may represent a potential exploratory step toward resilient digital education, informed by conceptual principles and our preliminary 25% reduction. Policymakers could consider funding open-source tools, while educators might pilot micro-interventions. Future research: Longitudinal effects on academic outcomes and AI personalization, with preregistration to address biases. By exploring emotional health, we may help equip students for a volatile world—echoing the conference's vision of holistic human development, pending robust validation.

References

- Aristovnik, A., Keržič, D., Ravšelj, D., Tomaževič, N., & Umek, L. (2020). Impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on life of higher education students. *Sustainability*, 12, 8438.
- Bruffaerts, R., Mortier, P., Kiekens, G., Auerbach, R. P., Cuijpers, P., Demyttenaere, K., & Kessler, R. C. (2018). Lifetime prevalence of mental disorders in university students. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 213, 1–7.
- Curelaru, M., et al. (2022). Students' online teaching, learning, and assessment experiences. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 879091.
- Deng, J., et al. (2020). The effects of emotional intelligence training on anxiety. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 276, 527–532.
- Dwyer, J. (2009). *The Sedona Method*. Sedona: Sedona Press.
- Eysenbach, G. (2011). CONSORT-EHEALTH: Improving and standardizing evaluation reports of Web-based and mobile health interventions. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 13, e126.
- Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional intelligence*. New York: Bantam Books.
- Gross, J. J. (1998). The emerging field of emotion regulation: An integrative review. *Review of General Psychology*, 2, 271–299.
- Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential learning*. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall.
- Pekrun, R. (2006). The control-value theory of achievement emotions: Assumptions, corollaries, and implications for educational research and practice. *Educational Psychology Review*, 18, 315–341.
- Rizvi, S., et al. (2022). Emotional regulation in online learning. *Computers & Education*, 178, 104398.
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 55, 68–78.
- Son, C., et al. (2020). Effects of COVID-19 on college students' mental health. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17, 7997.
- UNESCO. (2021). *Reimagining our futures together: A new social contract for education*. Paris: UNESCO Publishing.
- Zonenstain, I. (2025). Emotional education as part of the curriculum: A model based on the Sedona Method. In *Proceedings of the END Conference 2025*.